

Project Title: PSB Highlight Report	Workstream: Live Well Age Well
Project Lead: Jane Whitmore	Date: 2nd August 2019

Project Overview	Links to other workstreams or partners
<p>The Live Well Age Objective aims to make Swansea a great place to live well and age well where people are supported to be safe, healthy, independent and resilient in order for them to reach their full potential.</p> <p>To achieve this we need to develop approaches and services which support independence and resilience across communities, moving away from a service delivery model and changing the relationship between public and services.</p> <p>It is important we ask and understand what matters to individuals and what would help to build confident, healthy and resilient communities.</p>	<p>Representatives from the 3 other PSB objectives were invited to participate in the July workshop.</p> <p>There is a link to the Early Years Objective to ensure there is a seamless approach and pathway across the age ranges.</p> <p>Links have been made with the Stronger Communities objective to understand the similarities between the two objectives to avoid duplication.</p> <p>As we all reflect on the focus of the objectives going forward we need to make sure there are strong links and understanding between the objectives as they are congruent.</p>
Project Outcomes/ Milestones	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revisit existing steps in Wellbeing Plan under this objective to establish what is business as usual and what actions would benefit from a collective partnership approach (April 19) • Mapping of existing work on Ageing Well into the Live Well Age Well objective to ensure nothing is lost (May – June19) • Partnership workshop held to reflect where we have come from and identify key areas of work going forward (July 2019) • Live Well Age Well Group to identify key priorities for 19/20 (September 2019) 	

- Partnerships lead identified to take action forward (October 2019)
- Live Well Age Well Group to monitor progress (January 2020)
- Annual Progress Report to include actions and outcomes complied (March 2020)

Key Updates this period

Overview of key risks


Highlights – Achievements / Outcomes

- Good partnership buy in around this objective
- A huge amount of work around this area already underway
- Expanded the knowledge base through existing involvement to wider partners

Challenges/ Barriers/Risks

1. Not joining up all existing work to make sure the Live Well Age Well Objective adds value and not duplicates business as usual
2. Not having the right people are around the table to take action and deliver outcomes
3. How to expand the lens and age range of this objective

Risk Matrix (RAG Status)



1. Risk 1
2. Risk 2
3. Risk 3

Priorities for next period	Stakeholders Involved & Communication undertaken
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority 1 – Session to agree key priorities, ensuring the right people are included and that we capture existing work, not to duplicate. • Priority 2 – Ensuring a partnership approach is taken to identifying the key priorities and actions to be taken forward 	<p>Stakeholders involved include PSB Partners, wider partners outside the PSB, operational leads and citizens across the age range.</p> <p>Communication is ongoing through our forum work with citizens and through our Live Well Age Well partners</p>
<p>Any Actions for PSB: (Please tick as appropriate) Note Action ✓ Decision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action 1 - Can the PSB consider Culture Change & Involvement to be moved to a cross cutting theme across the 4 objectives? At the moment it just sits within the Live Well Age Well objective and it this was strongly recommended by all present at the Live Well Age Well Objective workshop that this was a output, which should be evidenced across all of the work of the PSB • Action 2 – Can the PSB explore what a ‘City for All’ , taking a rights based approach, would look like and the relationship and fit with specific initiatives such as Age Friendly Cities, Playful Cities, City of Sanctuary, Intercultural Cities, City of Culture, Human Rights City for example? At the workshop these featured strongly as potentially confusing and conflicting messages, for partners and for citizens. 	
<p>Report Prepared by:</p>	